Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/766,110	SCHULTZE, DENNIS L.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Russell Kallis	1638
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Russell Kallis</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kenyon Schuett</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 12 July 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: none		
Claims discussed: Claim 14 and canceled claims 23-29		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	n summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Rungle Kallin		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative Signature	nature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Rewording for Claim 14 for clarity and allowance of newly filed claims containing the subject matter of canceled claims 23-29 upon reconsideration of the amount of genetic material from the recurrent parent (i.e. the deposited line) transferred to the 4th generation backcross is sufficient to overcome 112 1st issues.